


Figure 1. (a) Change in optical density of the heme absorption at 556 nm following flash photolysis of a $0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 7$ phosphate solution containing $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{{ }^{11}}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}{ }^{11}(5 \mu \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}{ }^{2+}(65 \mu \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{Ni}^{11} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$ ane $(5$ $\mathrm{mM})$, and $\mathrm{RBr}(20 \mathrm{mM}) ; 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b) First-order plot of the experimental data ( $)$. The line is a least-squares fit for these points.

Scheme I

to intramolecular ET: $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{111}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}^{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{11}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}^{111}$. This oxidation of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{1 \mathrm{I}}$-heme follows first-order kinetics for at least three half-lives with an observed rate constant of 0.058 $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The kinetics were found to be independent of protein concentration ( $5-50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), thereby establishing that bimolecular ET processes are not significant.

The rate of $\mathrm{Fe}^{11}$ to $\mathrm{Ru}^{111} \mathrm{Et}$ for $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}\left(k_{\text {obsd }}=0.058\right.$ $\pm 0.004 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is within experimental error of that previously determined for the reverse ET $\left(k_{\text {obsd }}=0.060 \pm 0.004 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) .^{2}$ Kinetic analysis ${ }^{7}$ of a reversible unimolecular process yields an observed first-order rate constant that is equal to the sum of the forward $\left(k_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and reverse ( $k_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) rates:

$$
\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{111}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}^{11} \stackrel{k_{f}}{\stackrel{k_{t}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{11}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}^{11 \mathrm{l}} ; \quad k_{\mathrm{obsd}}=k_{\mathrm{f}}+k_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

Our finding that the observed rate constant is independent of the initial $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{111}-\mathrm{PFe}^{11}\right]:\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{PFe}^{111}\right]$ ratio demonstrates unequivocally that long-range ET in $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}$ is reversible.
We have also employed the new methodology to measure the long-range ET rate in myoglobin modified at histidine-48 with $\mathrm{a}_{4} \mathrm{pyRu}$ ( $\mathrm{py}=$ pyridine). This derivative of myoglobin was prepared and characterized by procedures analogous to those employed for $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}(48) \mathrm{MbFe} .^{2,8}$ The overall driving force for $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ to $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }} \mathrm{ET}$ in $\mathrm{a}_{4} \mathrm{prRu}^{\mathrm{III}}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ is 220 mV larger than in $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{III}}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}^{\mathrm{II}}$. The general features of the kinetics are similar
(7) Moore, J. W.; Pearson, R. G. Kinetics and Mechanism, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1981; pp 304-308.
(8) Karas, J. L.; Gray, H. B. Abstracts of Papers, 192nd National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Anaheim, CA; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC; 1986; INOR 171.
to those previously discussed for the $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}$-modified protein except that the overall reaction is considerably faster. The measured $\mathrm{Fe}^{11}$ to $\mathrm{Ru}^{111}$ long-range ET rate of $2.5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ indicates that $\mathrm{Ru}(48) \mathrm{MbFe}$ follows Marcus theory with a reorganization energy ( $\lambda)^{9}$ similar to those reported for related protein ${ }^{10,11}$ and steroid-spacer ${ }^{12}$ ET reactions. In terms of the Hoffman-Ratner treatment of gated ET reactions, ${ }^{13}$ our findings are of particular relevance because they show that the rates of long-range ET in ruthenium-modified myoglobins are not controlled by conformational interconversions. ${ }^{14}$
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(9) Assuming that $k=A \exp \left(-\left(\Delta G^{\circ}+\lambda\right)^{2} / 4 \lambda R T\right)$ and that $\lambda$ and $A$ remain constant, the ET rate constant at the higher driving force is $k_{1}=k_{2}$ $\exp \left[-\left(\left(\Delta G_{1}{ }^{\circ}+\lambda\right)^{2}-\left(\Delta G_{2}{ }^{\circ}+\lambda\right)^{2}\right) / 4 \lambda R T\right]$, where $\Delta G_{1}{ }^{\circ}=-240 \mathrm{mV}, \Delta G_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ $=-20 \mathrm{mV}$, and $k_{2}=0.04 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For $\lambda$ values between 1 and $2 \mathrm{eV}, k_{1}$ is predicted to be $\sim 2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
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Although the ionic ring-opening polymerization has been widely investigated, few papers have reported on the free radical ringopening polymerization. Recent examples of the free radical ring-opening polymerization involve the vinylcyclopropanes, ${ }^{1}$ unsaturated spiro orthocarbonates, ${ }^{2}$ unsaturated spiro ortho esters, ${ }^{3}$ 2 -phenyl-3-vinyloxylanes, ${ }^{4}$ cyclic ketene acetals such as 2 -methylene-1,3-dioxolane, ${ }^{5}$ and 2 -methylene-4-phenyl-1,3-dioxolane. ${ }^{6}$ In the course of researching the radical ring-opening polymerization of 2 -substituted-4-methylene-1,3-dioxolanes, it was found that a polyketone was obtained in good yield by the polymerization of 2,2 -diphenyl-4-methylene-1,3-dioxolane (1) accompanying the quantitative elimination of benzophenone without any side reactions. Although some ways of synthesizing a polyketone, such as the copolymerization of ethylene with carbon monoxide under high pressure, ${ }^{7}$ the oxidation of poly(vinyl alcohol), ${ }^{8}$ the cationic polymerization of a ketene or diketene, ${ }^{9}$ and
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the radical ring-opening polymerization of unsaturated cyclic ethers or diketene, ${ }^{10}$ have been reported; any of such obtained polymers contains undetermined structural units or no more than ketone moieties partially. We now report a novel synthesis of polyketone via the radical ring-opening polymerization of $\mathbf{1}$ accompanying the quantitative elimination of benzophenone.

Monomer $1^{11}$ was synthesized by the acetal formation as follows: benzophenone was allowed to react with 3 -chloro-1,2-propanediol in benzene in the presence of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $p$ - TsOH ) with azeotropic removal of water for 6 h . The obtained chloro compound, 2,2-diphenyl-4-(chloromethyl)-1,3-dioxolane ${ }^{12}$ was dehydrochlorinated with sodium methoxide in $N, N$-dimethylformamide (DMF) for 3 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The polymerization of $\mathbf{1}$ was carried out at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in chlorobenzene in the presence of di-tert-butyl peroxide (DTBP) ( $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) as an initiator. ${ }^{13}$ Since the reaction mixture solidified as the polymerization proceeded, the polymerization of 1 almost stopped after about 7 h . The detection of benzophenone from the soluble part in methylene chloride after the purification indicated that 1 was polymerized with the elimination of benzophenone. All the IR spectra of thus obtained polymers ( $\mathbf{2 a}$ (time, 0.5 h ), 2b ( 2 h ), 2c ( 4 h ), 2d ( 7 h ), $\mathbf{2 e}(11 \mathrm{~h}), \mathbf{2 f}(16 \mathrm{~h}))$ showed absorption at $1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group. All the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed only one signal at 2.62 ppm corresponding to methylene protons, and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of polymer $2 f$ showed two signals at 206.84 and 35.37 ppm corresponding to the carbonyl carbon and the methylene carbon, respectively. To our notice, neither aromatic proton nor aromatic carbon was found in all the spectra. Moreover, the found value of elemental analysis of polymer $\mathbf{2 f}$ agreed with the calculated value for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}$. These spectral data and the result of the elemental analysis indicated that 1 underwent the ringopening reaction accompanying the quantitative elimination of benzophenone to form polyketone 2 as shown in eq 1 .


Further confirmation of the polyketone structure was carried out by the chemical reaction of the obtained polymer with phenylhydrazine. ${ }^{14}$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{2}+n \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNHPh} \xrightarrow{95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}} \\
&-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{NNHPh}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right]_{n}+n \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

In the IR spectrum of the reaction product, the absorption of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group disappeared completely but the new absorptions at 1601 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $C=\mathrm{N}$ and the phenyl group were observed. These results strongly supported the structure of 2 .

Although the five-membered ring containing two oxygen atoms (dioxolane) has lower strain energy, that the reaction proceeded smoothly might be caused by the formation of a stable radical, diphenylmethyl radical, which cannot attack the olefin, and as a result the production of the ketone group (benzophenone). In other words, the predominant formation of a ketone group and diphenylmethyl radical is the driving force for the polymerization of 1 .

It is also expected that the ketone moieties can be easily incorporated into the backbone of vinyl polymers by a copolymerization method. In practice, it has been already found that the ketone moieties can be incorporated into the backbone of polystyrene. A report relating to the copolymerization of 1 with vinyl monomers will be presented subsequently.
(14) A solution of the polymer ( 24.3 mg ) and phenylhydrazine ( 170 mg ) in $p$-cresol ( 1.5 mL ) was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h in the presence of $p$-TsOH $(0.075 \mathrm{~g})$. After $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ was destroyed by the addition of triethylamine ( 0.1 mL ), the product was purified by precipitation in a mixture of ether and triethylamine ( $10: 1$ ). The precipitated polymer was dried under reduced pressure at room temperature to give 55.8 mg of polyimine ( $88.0 \%$ ): IR (KBR) 2976, 2939, 1601, 1496, 1184, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
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An important question arising from the recent discovery of several $\eta^{2}$-dihydrogen complexes ${ }^{1-8}$ is under which conditions is the $\eta^{2}$-dihydrogen coordination mode, $\mathrm{M}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, preferred over the classical, dihydride structure, $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ ? ${ }^{\text {? }}$
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    (11) 2,2-Diphenyl-4-methylene-1,3-dioxolane (1): yield $59.4 \%$; bp $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(0.06 \mathrm{mmHg}) ; \mathrm{mp} 39.0-40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $3063,3032,2886,1686,1068,756$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 60 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.93-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}$ 's), 4.03-3.80, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 60 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \mathrm{CH}^{8} 7.93$
    $4.70-4.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$.
    (12) 2,2-Diphenyl-4-(chloromethyl)-1,3-dioxolane: yield $69.0 \%$; bp $126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(0.07 \mathrm{mmHg}) ; \mathrm{mp} 42.0-43.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) $3063,3028,2889,1076,1030,752$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 60 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.00-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, Ar H's $), 4.60-3.13$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{OCH}$ ).
    (13) Six sealed polymerization tubes ( 5 mL ) containing $1(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.39$ mmol ), DTBP ( $36.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.252 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and chlorobenzene ( 4.3 mL ) were heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $0.5,2,4,7,11$, and 16 h , respectively. The resulting products were purified by dissolution in p-cresol, followed by precipitation in the mixture of methylene chloride and triethylamine ( $10: 1$ ). The precipitated materials were dried under reduced pressure at room temperature to give 0.035 g of $2 \mathrm{a}(7.6 \%), 0.125 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathbf{2 b}(26.9 \%), 0.246 \mathrm{~g}$ of $2 \mathrm{c}(52.3 \%), 0.348 \mathrm{~g}$ of 2 d ( $75.5 \%$ ) , 0.365 g of $2 \mathrm{e}(77.5 \%)$, and 0.371 g of $2 \mathrm{f}(78.8 \%)$, respectively: [ $\eta$ ] $0.63 \mathrm{dL} / \mathrm{g}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $m$-cresol (2d); IR (KBr) 2912, 1693, 1408, 1331, 1055 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}, 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCH}_{2}$ ) (2a-2f); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25.00 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 206.84$ (CO), $35.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(2 f)$; Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}: \mathrm{C}, 64.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19$. Found: C, $64.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.01$ (2f).

